



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/037,545

12/21/2001

Norman Ken Ouchi

Solectron 721

7992

7590

05/23/2008

Robert Moll  
1173 St. Charles Court  
Los Altos, CA 94024

EXAMINER

NEURAUTER, GEORGE C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2143

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/23/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/037,545	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OUCHI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> George C. Neurauter, Jr.	<b>Art Unit</b> 2143	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) George C. Neurauter, Jr. (3) Jennifer Volk.  
 (2) Chris Hunter. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-8 and 31-41.

Identification of prior art discussed: "Project 2000".

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, double patenting, and 35 USC 102 rejections under "Project 2000" were discussed. The Applicant proposed amendments to overcome the rejections. The Examiner noted that the amendments regarding the 102 rejections, if filed, would most likely require further search and/or consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/George C. Neurauter, Jr./  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2143

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required